

Retirement in the shadow (banking) by Ordoñez and Piguillem

Alberto Martin


ECB, CREI and Barcelona GSE

September 5, 2019

Overview

- In recent years: significant expansion in securitization and shadow banking activities

Figure 1: Evolution of Shadow Banking



- This paper: increase in life expectancy
 - ▶ Last four decades: from 77 to 83 years
 - ▶ Increase in retirement savings fuels expansion of shadow banking
- Calibrated model: life expectancy crucial to account for rise of shadow banking and credit

A(n embarrassingly) simple model


- Two-period OLG structure
- *Preferences*: continuum of agents that maximize

$$U_t^i = \log(C_0) + \beta \cdot \log(C_{t+1}^i),$$


where I think of β as likelihood of reaching old age.

- *Technology*: $F(K_t, L_t) = K_t^\alpha \cdot L_t^{1-\alpha}$
 - ▶ Young endowed with one unit of labor; competitive factor markets.
 - ▶ Capital produced with consumption goods and depreciates fully.
- Young deposit savings in banks, who invest:
 - ▶ *Traditional banks*: produce 1 unit of capital per unit of investment.
 - ▶ *Shadow banks*: produce $A > 1$ units of capital per unit investment.
 - ▶ Dealing with shadow bank requires fixed cost κ .


A simple model: dynamics



A simple model: dynamics



A simple model: increase in life expectancy



In the paper....

- ...similar flavor, slightly more complicated setup
 - ▶ Work for T periods, then retirement and constant probability of death δ .
 - ▶ Sources of income: labor, bequests and pensions

In the paper....

- ...similar flavor, slightly more complicated setup
 - ▶ Work for T periods, then retirement and constant probability of death δ .
 - ▶ Sources of income: labor, bequests and pensions
- **Heterogeneity:** utility α per unit of bequest
 - ▶ ★ Selfish ($\alpha = 0$)
 - ▶ ★ Altruistic ($\alpha = \hat{\alpha} > 0$)

In the paper....

- ...similar flavor, slightly more complicated setup
 - ▶ Work for T periods, then retirement and constant probability of death δ .
 - ▶ Sources of income: labor, bequests and pensions
- **Heterogeneity:** utility α per unit of bequest
 - ▶ ★ Selfish ($\alpha = 0$)
 - ▶ ★ Altruistic ($\alpha = \hat{\alpha} > 0$)
- **Agents' problem:**
 - ▶ How much to save.
 - ▶ *How to save:* banks or capital markets.

How to save?

- Directly purchase bonds or capital (or, equivalently, firm) in **capital markets**.
 - ▶ Advantage: cheap (no intermediation)
 - ▶ Disadvantage: risky (what if I live too long!)

How to save?

- Directly purchase bonds or capital (or, equivalently, firm) in **capital markets**.
 - ▶ Advantage: cheap (no intermediation)
 - ▶ Disadvantage: risky (what if I live too long!)
- Deposit in **banks** (who buy bonds or capital) in exchange for payments in retirement
 - ▶ Advantage: insurance against longevity risk
 - ▶ Disadvantage: costly (operating cost $\hat{\phi}$ per unit of asset)

How to save?

- Directly purchase bonds or capital (or, equivalently, firm) in **capital markets**.
 - ▶ Advantage: cheap (no intermediation)
 - ▶ Disadvantage: risky (what if I live too long!)
- Deposit in **banks** (who buy bonds or capital) in exchange for payments in retirement
 - ▶ Advantage: insurance against longevity risk
 - ▶ Disadvantage: costly (operating cost $\hat{\phi}$ per unit of asset)
- Banks can be **“traditional”** or **“shadow”**
 - ▶ Shadow banks: higher returns on portfolio
 - ★ Banks subject to runs: liquidate capital at “fire sale” prices.
 - ★ Shadow banks better at reselling capital → higher capital holdings → higher returns.
 - ★ Fall in intermediation spread.
 - ▶ Disadvantage of shadow banks: fixed cost κ .


How to save?

- Directly purchase bonds or capital (or, equivalently, firm) in **capital markets**.
 - ▶ Advantage: cheap (no intermediation)
 - ▶ Disadvantage: risky (what if I live too long!)
- Deposit in **banks** (who buy bonds or capital) in exchange for payments in retirement
 - ▶ Advantage: insurance against longevity risk
 - ▶ Disadvantage: costly (operating cost $\hat{\phi}$ per unit of asset)
- Banks can be **“traditional”** or **“shadow”**
 - ▶ Shadow banks: higher returns on portfolio
 - ★ Banks subject to runs: liquidate capital at “fire sale” prices.
 - ★ Shadow banks better at reselling capital → higher capital holdings → higher returns.
 - ★ Fall in intermediation spread.
 - ▶ Disadvantage of shadow banks: fixed cost κ .

Bottom line: theory


- **Observation 1:** banks provide better insurance against longevity risk

Figure 2: Lifetime Pattern of Consumption Under Strategies *B* and *C*



Bottom line: theory


- **Observation 1:** banks provide better insurance against longevity risk



- **Result #1:** under some parametric conditions, selfish agents use banks.

Bottom line: theory

- **Observation 1:** banks provide better insurance against longevity risk



- **Result #1:** under some parametric conditions, selfish agents use banks.
- **Result #2:** among selfish, a higher survival probability shifts choice to shadow banks.

Bottom line: empirics/quantification

- First estimate fall in intermediation “spread”.

Bottom line: empirics/quantification

- First estimate fall in intermediation “spread”.
- Then calibrate economy to replicate financial intermediation in 1980.
 - ▶ Use model to compute 2007 under life expectancy shock

Bottom line: empirics/quantification

- First estimate fall in intermediation “spread”.
- Then calibrate economy to replicate financial intermediation in 1980.
 - ▶ Use model to compute 2007 under life expectancy shock
- Main takeaway: increase in life expectancy can generate...
 - ▶ Higher capital to output ratio.
 - ▶ Higher net worth.
 - ▶ Higher output.

Bottom line: empirics/quantification

- First estimate fall in intermediation “spread”.
- Then calibrate economy to replicate financial intermediation in 1980.
 - ▶ Use model to compute 2007 under life expectancy shock
- Main takeaway: increase in life expectancy can generate...
 - ▶ Higher capital to output ratio.
 - ▶ Higher net worth.
 - ▶ Higher output.
- But effect doubles when combined existence of shadow banks.
 - ▶ Shadow banks boost effect of life expectancy.

General reaction

- Interesting, rich paper on a very important topic
- Huge demographic transition in many rich countries
 - ▶ Rise in longevity.
 - ▶ Fall in fertility.
- What is the effects on financial markets?
 - ▶ Interest rate? (e.g., Carvalho et al. (2017), Lisack et al. (2017))
 - ▶ Risk premia / stock prices? (e.g., Geanakoplos et al. (2006))

Comment 1: why shadow banks?

- Underlying question: how does rise in asset demand affect financial markets?
 - ▶ *Why is this about shadow banks? What is special about them?*

Comment 1: why shadow banks?

- Underlying question: how does rise in asset demand affect financial markets?
 - ▶ *Why is this about shadow banks? What is special about them?*
- In paper: nothing! → “*Banks 2.0*”.
 - ▶ Superior savings technology at a fixed cost.
 - ▶ No difference in risk profile, type of investment, etc...
 - ▶ There is some (loose) discussion of runs, secondary markets, etc..
 - ★ Extremely reduced form.
 - ★ If anything, shadow banks seem safer than traditional banks!

Comment 1: why shadow banks?

- Underlying question: how does rise in asset demand affect financial markets?
 - ▶ *Why is this about shadow banks? What is special about them?*
- In paper: nothing! → “Banks 2.0”.
 - ▶ Superior savings technology at a fixed cost.
 - ▶ No difference in risk profile, type of investment, etc...
 - ▶ There is some (loose) discussion of runs, secondary markets, etc..
 - ★ Extremely reduced form.
 - ★ If anything, shadow banks seem safer than traditional banks!
- Paper should provide stronger narrative, e.g.:
 - ▶ Life expectancy rises → asset demand increases → interest rates decline.
 - ▶ At the margin, investors pushed to riskier assets.

Comment 1: why shadow banks?

- Underlying question: how does rise in asset demand affect financial markets?
 - ▶ *Why is this about shadow banks? What is special about them?*
- In paper: nothing! → “Banks 2.0”.
 - ▶ Superior savings technology at a fixed cost.
 - ▶ No difference in risk profile, type of investment, etc...
 - ▶ There is some (loose) discussion of runs, secondary markets, etc..
 - ★ Extremely reduced form.
 - ★ If anything, shadow banks seem safer than traditional banks!
- Paper should provide stronger narrative, e.g.:
 - ▶ Life expectancy rises → asset demand increases → interest rates decline.
 - ▶ At the margin, investors pushed to riskier assets.
- In fact, theory's main prediction of theory is growth in annuities!
 - ▶ But paper silent on this.

Comment 2: why altruists?

- Not sure what altruists add to the story.

Comment 2: why altruists?

- Not sure what altruists add to the story.
- In calibration, crucial to account for rise in household indebtedness.
 - ▶ Rise in longevity raises savings.
 - ▶ Altruists “intermediate”: higher leverage to invest more in capital markets.

Comment 2: why altruists?

- Not sure what altruists add to the story.
- In calibration, crucial to account for rise in household indebtedness.
 - ▶ Rise in longevity raises savings.
 - ▶ Altruists “intermediate”: higher leverage to invest more in capital markets.
- But who are these altruists in reality?
 - ▶ Direct investment in capital markets: wealthy households.
 - ★ Richest 10% of households hold approx. 80% of stocks.
 - ▶ High leverage: poor households.
 - ★ 230% among poorest 50% of households, 6% among richest 10%.

Comment 2: why altruists?


- Not sure what altruists add to the story.
- In calibration, crucial to account for rise in household indebtedness.
 - ▶ Rise in longevity raises savings.
 - ▶ Altruists “intermediate”: higher leverage to invest more in capital markets.
- But who are these altruists in reality?
 - ▶ Direct investment in capital markets: wealthy households.
 - ★ Richest 10% of households hold approx. 80% of stocks.
 - ▶ High leverage: poor households.
 - ★ 230% among poorest 50% of households, 6% among richest 10%.

Comment 3: where are the savings?

- Basic mechanism relies on US households saving more for retirement.


Comment 3: where are the savings?

- Basic mechanism relies on US households saving more for retirement.
- Yet savings, if anything, appear to be falling in the US.




Comment 3: where are the savings?

- Basic mechanism relies on US households saving more for retirement.
- Yet savings, if anything, appear to be falling in the US.




- Perhaps higher savings are foreign: “global imbalances”.

Comment 3: where are the savings?




Comment 4: why the US?

- Life expectancy has evolved similarly around the rich world.



Comment 4: why the US?


- Life expectancy has evolved similarly around the rich world.



- Shouldn't we have expected similar developments elsewhere?

Comment 4: why the US?

- Life expectancy has evolved similarly around the rich world.



- Shouldn't we have expected similar developments elsewhere?
- Perhaps combine with previous comments:
 - Increase in life expectancy raises savings throughout the world.
 - US supplies these assets through expansion in shadow banks.

Comment 5: role of fertility

- Paper focuses on life expectancy: what about fertility?

Comment 5: role of fertility

- Paper focuses on life expectancy: what about fertility?
- Suppose fixed costs of financial innovation are aggregate, not individual.
 - ▶ Large cohorts bring about financial innovation.
- **Implication #1:** rise of shadow banks was the result of baby boomers saving for retirement.
 - ▶ ★ Interesting political economy twist: financial deregulation of early 80's as a response to higher asset demand.

Comment 5: role of fertility

- Paper focuses on life expectancy: what about fertility?
- Suppose fixed costs of financial innovation are aggregate, not individual.
 - ▶ Large cohorts bring about financial innovation.
- **Implication #1:** rise of shadow banks was the result of baby boomers saving for retirement.
 - ▶ ★ Interesting political economy twist: financial deregulation of early 80's as a response to higher asset demand.
- **Implication #2:** as fertility falls, will shadow banking sector shrink?
 - ▶ Implications for macroeconomic growth and risk going forward.

Conclusions

- Interesting paper on a very important topic.
- Key lingering questions:
 - ▶ Why shadow banks?
 - ▶ Why altruists?
 - ▶ Where are the savings?
 - ▶ Why the US?
 - ▶ What about fertility?