

# Capturing macroprudential regulation effectiveness: A DSGE approach with shadow intermediaries

Discussion

Johannes Poeschl<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research  
Danmarks Nationalbank

10-07-2018



# General Remarks

Paper is very nice to read!

Securitization regulation is a very interesting idea!

Two main comments:

- Institutional setup
- Role of regulation

Big, overarching question: **What's the trade-off for the regulator in the model?**

# Institutional Setup - Core Functions of Shadow Banks

What are the **social** functions of shadow banks?

1. Provision of money-like claims to outside investors (Gennaioli et al. (2013), Moreira and Savov (2017))
2. Regulatory arbitrage (Bad: Plantin (2015), Good: Ordoñez (2018))
3. Specialization advantages (Gertler et al. (2016))

Here: **1.** (household portfolio choice), **2.** (no regulation of shadow banks) and **3.** (only shadow banks can finance large firms) play a role!

**What to focus on?** **1.** and **2.**



# Institutional Setup - Shadow Banks as Liquidity Providers

Provision of claims that are money-like most of the time, but subject to rare runs

Money-like means **safe, liquid, short-term**

Here: shadow banks issue fully state-contingent, risky debt

- would carry a risk-premium and a liquidity premium in a non-linear world
- not money-like!
- preference for shadow bank funding assumed

**Discuss the role of liquidity provision for the welfare results more!**

# Institutional Setup - Shadow Banks as Regulatory Arbitrageurs

Plantin (2015): Shadow banking as an unintended side effect of otherwise desirable regulation

Ordoñez (2018): Shadow banking allows banks to circumvent inefficient regulation

Desirability of regulatory arbitrage depends on trade-off between social benefit and social cost of bank capital

Here: More **of any** regulation is **always** welfare improving, despite bank capital being costly to accumulate

**Discuss the private and social costs of bank capital more!**

## The Role of Regulation

**Macroprudential** regulation addresses externalities that arise in general equilibrium, e.g. due to:

- Incomplete markets (Lorenzoni (2008))
- Price-sensitive borrowing constraints (Bianchi (2011))
- Multiple equilibria (Gertler and Kiyotaki (2015))
- Moral hazard (Di Tella (2016))

Here: Key **micro** inefficiency: Moral hazard problem of commercial banks

- Micro or macroprudential regulation?
- Does it lead to a macro inefficiency?

Key **macro** inefficiency: Financial accelerator

- What about systemic run risk?

**Which inefficiencies exist? Which ones are quantitatively important?**

- Bianchi, J. (2011). Overborrowing and systemic externalities in the business cycle. *American Economic Review* 101(7), 3400–3426.
- Di Tella, S. (2016). Optimal Regulation of Financial Intermediaries.
- Gennaioli, N., A. Shleifer, and R. W. Vishny (2013, aug). A Model of Shadow Banking. *The Journal of Finance* 68(4), 1331–1363.
- Gertler, M. and N. Kiyotaki (2015). Banking, Liquidity and Bank Runs in an Infinite-Horizon Economy. *American Economic Review* 105(7), 2011–2043.
- Gertler, M., N. Kiyotaki, and A. Prestipino (2016). Wholesale Banking and Bank Runs in Macroeconomic Modeling of Financial Crises. In J. B. Taylor and H. Uhlig (Eds.), *Handbook of Macroeconomics*, Chapter 16, pp. 1345–1425.
- Lorenzoni, G. (2008, jul). Inefficient Credit Booms. *Review of Economic Studies* 75(3), 809–833.
- Moreira, A. and A. Savov (2017, dec). The Macroeconomics of Shadow Banking. *The Journal of Finance* 72(6), 2381–2432.
- Ordoñez, G. (2018, jan). Sustainable Shadow Banking. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics* 10(1), 33–56.
- Plantin, G. (2015). Shadow Banking and Bank Capital Regulation. *The Review of Financial Studies* 28(1), 146–175.