

A Portfolio Model of Quantitative Easing by Jens Christensen and Signe Krogstrup

Discussion by Ulrike Neyer
Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Germany

November 6, 2017

European Central Bank Workshop on
Money Markets, Monetary Policy Implementation
and Central Bank Balance Sheets

Frankfurt, Germany

Main Idea:

- Response to the financial crisis: Central bank conducted large scale asset purchases (QE).

Objective: long-term interest rates ↓ - **However, how?**

- Christensen and Krogstrup (CK) look at two channels:

supply-induced portfolio channel: central bank buys long-term bonds → availability of bonds ↓ → bond prices ↑ → return ↓

reserve-induced portfolio channel: central bank buys long-term bonds from non-bank financial institutions (NB) → bank deposits ↑ → bank reserves ↑ → portfolio adjustment, banks buy bonds → bond prices ↑ → return ↓

$$\frac{dP_L}{dL_{CB}} = \frac{-1}{\frac{\partial f_B}{\partial P_L} + \frac{\partial f_{NB}}{\partial P_L} - P_L \frac{\partial f_{NB}}{\partial P_L} \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial F_B}} > 0$$

I very much liked reading the paper

- highly topical (bank behaviour in response to a huge, autonomous increase in reserves triggered by QE)
- very interesting, good starting point for more related discussion
- very nicely written

4 comments/question ...

1. Preferences of the NBFS and the BS?

- There are three agents: Central Bank (CB), Nonbank Financial Sector (NBFS), Banking Sector (BS)
- CK: no microfoundations, standard preferences
- however, some more information would be nice:

BS: hold reserves and bonds - why? Should be important for your result.

CK: Reserves are more liquid but no interest - why do banks hold reserves? Liquidity risk, f.e. is assumed away.

NBFS: hold deposits and bonds - why? Should be important for your result.

2. Function of Equity

- CB, NBFS, BS: all agents do only hold equity to buffer changes in bond prices
- Suggestion: mention this at the very beginning, skip equity from bond demand functions, so that: $f_{NB}^j(P_L)$, $f_B^i(P_L, D_B(P_L))$

3. Superscripts j and i

- Why are these indexes used? One starts to think how do banks (non-banks) differ, just in order to learn that they do not...
- Suggestion: mention at the very beginning that all banks (non-banks) are identical and skip the indexes

4. Consolidated budget constraint

CK: Consolidated Budget Constraint, equation 24:

$$P_L \cdot (L - L_{CB}) = P_L \cdot (L_B + L_{NB})$$

Total Differentiation:

$$dP_L \cdot (L - L_{CB}) + P_L \cdot (dL - dL_{CB}) = dP_L \cdot (L_B + L_{NB}) + P_L \cdot (dL_B + dL_{NB})$$

(Nevertheless) you end up with the key equation (28):

$$\frac{dP_L}{dL_{CB}} = \frac{-1}{\frac{\partial f_B}{\partial P_L} + \frac{\partial f_{NB}}{\partial P_L} - P_L \frac{\partial f_{NB}}{\partial P_L} \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial F_B}} > 0$$

Comment: It is not the consolidated budget constraint, but that is:

$$P_L \cdot (L - L_{CB}) = E_{CB} - P_L \cdot (L_B + L_{NB}) + E_B + E_{NB}$$

Why not saying instead "market clearing condition" requires:

$$L - L_{CB} = L_B + L_{NB} \text{ totally diff. } \rightarrow (28)$$

**Thank you for
a nice, interesting, highly topical paper
and for your attention!**