

No	Feedback	"Used amount" in A2A?	"Used amount" in U2A?
	<p>New ISO compliant A2A message Return Message shall report maximum amount of direct debits per day, maximum amount per payee and maximum amount per payment (from respective payee)</p> <p>Draft a new ISO compliant message for the direct debit mandate</p>	No	Yes
1	<p>Question: Is an U2A information about the attribute „used amount“ necessary and required?</p> <p>This information would only be provided via U2A</p> <p>Get/Return Calendar Draft new ISO message covering all business attributes of the calendar</p>		
2	<p>Regarding the written procedure on ISO 20022 messaging in the context of the T2-T2S Consolidation project, the feedback received from our community is that they support a U2A information about the attribute "used amount".</p>	No	Yes
	<p>New ISO compliant messages</p> <p>-Get / Return Direct Debit Mandate. ING does not require the used amount feature and thus supports the proposed new message format -Get/Return Calendar. No comments required</p>	No	No
3	<p>No New ISO compliant messages</p> <p>-Error Codes. ING does not require an A2A solution for the error codes -Directory. ING does not require an A2A solution for the Directory</p>		
	<p>We have the following remarks on the new A2A messages:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • U2A information on the "used amount" is sufficient for the NBB. We see no need to have this information in an A2A message; • We regret that there will be no Directory for CLM. The fact of having no CLM directory means that we have to replicate the set-up in CLM in our own internal systems (e.g. our payments engine and our collateral management system). Each update in the CLM/CRDM must therefore also be reflected in our internal systems. In addition, if we have cross-border transactions (e.g. we currently have invoices which are booked on T2 accounts opened in the books of another NCB), we need to receive the information from the concerned CB in order to know the account details of the "foreign" MCA. A CLM directory would ease our work and would reduce the risk of having different databases in our internal systems vis-à-vis the CLM/CRDM database. 	No	Yes
4			
	<p>Dear Project Team,</p> <p>I believe yes, this information will be required in U2A and also sufficient in U2A only.</p>	No	Yes
5	<p>However, it would be very useful to have some reporting module or option available so it could be downloaded for a period of time (e.g. a month). If the functionality is already envisaged like that ("report maximum amount of direct debits per day") and the xml message will not return the result for one day only or the max amount in a period (i.e. only one amount instead of the amounts for each day) then it is already good.</p>		
6	<p>We checked the question about the "used amount" and we do not need the information. But we could imagine that it could be an important helpful information for other banks.</p>	No	No
7	<p>we - DZ BANK - except to get information about the "used amount" in the context of direct debit mandates via U2A. It is not planned to get it via A2A (because we do not access via A2A.</p>	No	Yes

No	Feedback	"Used amount" in A2A?	"Used amount" in U2A?
	At the current stance, we do not see the need to get information on the "used amount", neither in A2A nor in U2A.	No	No
8	As regards the feedback of our banking community, the vast majority of our AMI-Pay NSG members do not see the need at the moment as well. For those banks that expressed some preference to be able to get information on the "used amount", U2A would be sufficient. According to the feedback we got, an A2A possibility would not be needed.		
9	we are fine with today's direct debit mandate in the ICM which only provides static data. Therefore we do not require the used amount in the GUI (U2A) and we do not need this information A2A.	No	No
10	We don't identify a specific requirement to make the "used amount" information available.	No	No
11	On the "used amount" issues: no strong business case was identified, and if the information had to be available, U2A only should be enough.	No	Yes
		11x No 0x Yes	5x No 6x Yes