



ECB DG-MIP T2-T2S Consolidation

ISO 20022 Messaging Discussion Topics

TARGET Consolidation Contact Group

4th Meeting on 06 June 2018

Introduction



- As part of the T2-T2S Consolidation realisation phase the work on ISO 20022 messaging will be triggering a series of clarification and discussion topics for attention of the TCCG
- Follow up on previous discussion topics
 - Payment cancellation via camt.056 vs. camt.008 (24 April 2018)
 - File header message (24 April 2018)
 - SBTransferInitiation message (24 March 2018)
 - Objective: Conclude discussion on the subject

Follow up on Payment Cancellation via camt.056 vs. camt.008



- Original Question to the TCCG:
 - With regard to the cancellation request of a payment (order) we would like to have your guidance, which of the below options should be implemented in the RTGS service?
 - Option 1: Use of camt.008
 - Option 2: Use of camt.056

• Feedback Summary*:

- In total 18 TCCG members replied to the written procedure
- Majority of responses (17 out of 18) favoured Option 2: Use of camt.056
- One TCCG member argued that also Option 1: use of camt.008 might be beneficial from retail banking point of view in addition to the use of camt.056

• TCCG Conclusion:

 Payment cancellation requests are to be implement by means of camt.056 messages. A RTGS and CLM URD change request is to be drafted.

* For further information, please also refer to the consolidated feedback excel file TARGET Consolidation Contact Group - 4th meeting 3 www.ecb.europa.eu ©

Follow up on File Header Message (1/2)



- Original Question to the TCCG:
 - For which use case files will be sent rather than single messages?
- Feedback Summary*:
 - In total eleven TCCG members replied to the written procedure
 - More than half of the responses (six out of eleven) provided use cases
 - Four of the TCCG members are interested in using files for specific use cases

• TCCG Conclusion:

- The collected list of use cases is to serve as basis for the drafting of the file header message
- A CLM URD change request is to be drafted to enable the file header usage in CLM for CB-specific use cases
- * For further information, please also refer to the consolidated feedback excel file

Follow up on File Header Message (2/2)



- Original Question to the TCCG:
 - For which use case files will be sent rather than single messages?
- Summary of Collected Use Cases*:
 - A. Files for warehouse payments (mentioned four times)
 - B. Files for customer payments received as files by the bank already (mentioned three times), e.g. for billing purposes and payment of salaries
 - C. Files for reporting purposes (mentioned twice), e.g. payment status or account statement
 - D. Files for ancillary system settlement (e.g. as replacement of ASI Procedure 3)
 - E. Files for batch process created T2 payments
 - F. CB specific Files for tax payments, Files for cash withdrawals

* NB: Not all listed use cases are covered by user requirements. Hence the list serves as basis for the drafting of the file header message only

Follow up on SBTransferInitiation Message



- Original Questions to the TCCG:
 - Is there still a valid use case for this message or do all markets rely on the AS to initiate the transfer?
 - If yes, would U2A support of a settlement bank initiated transfer be sufficient?

• Feedback Summary*:

- In total seven TCCG members replied to the written procedure
- Majority of responses (five out of seven) see a valid use case for the message
- Thereof, three responses have a strong need for A2A support of the SBTransferInitiation message, i.e. U2A support only is not sufficient

TCCG Conclusion:

 Based on the TCCG feedback it is evident that the SBTransferInitiation message is required via A2A mode in future as well.

* For further information, please also refer to the consolidated feedback excel file TARGET Consolidation Contact Group - 4th meeting 6 www.ecb.europa.eu ©



Thank you for the attention!

T2-T2S.Consolidation@ecb.int

www.ecb.europa.eu/paym

in ECB: market infrastructure and payments