

# TARGET Instant Payment Settlement

Overview of the SDD drafting for ESMIG<sup>TIPS</sup> and CRDM<sup>TIPS</sup>

TIPS Contact Group #7

# Summary

1. Status of the CRDM<sup>TIPS</sup> UDFS drafting
2. Status of the CRDM<sup>TIPS</sup> UHB drafting
3. Status of the ESMIG<sup>TIPS</sup> UDFS drafting

## Status of the CRDM<sup>TIPS</sup> UDFS drafting

# Part 1 - CRDM<sup>TIPS</sup> UDFS – v.0.9.0

- The version v.0.9.0 of the UDFS contains
  - Full scope of the documents : Chapters 1, 2, 3 and 4 (Annex)
  - Answers to customer feedback

# Part 1 - CRDM<sup>TIPS</sup> UDFS – v.0.9.0

## Chapter 1 – General features of CRDM

- Introduction to CRDM
- Access to CRDM
- Reference Data Model
- CRDM features
- Interactions with other Services
- Operations and Support
- Limitations of the system

## Chapter 2 – Dialogue between CRDM and CRDM Actors

- Data Management Tool file upload

# Part 1 - CRDM<sup>TIPS</sup> UDFS – v.0.9.0

## Chapter 3 – Data Management tool

- Introduction
- Technical specification
- Technical specification of the Excel file
- Technical specification of the flat file
- Format of structured files
- Format of “enriched files”

## Chapter 4 – Appendices

- Business rules

# Overview of the market feedback on the CRDM<sup>TIPS</sup> UDFS

- **53** comments have been assessed, out of the written consultation concerning the 3<sup>rd</sup> draft of the UDFS.
- All comments have been taken into account and arranged under five different categories:

Category	Actions
Accepted	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Request to change the UDFS (e.g. typos, inconsistencies, errors, further details, etc.).</li><li>• The comment was included in the 3<sup>rd</sup> UDFS draft.</li></ul>
Clarification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No changes in the UDFS document.</li><li>• Additional explanation provided while answering the comment.</li></ul>
Rejected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Request cannot be accepted (e.g. request contradicting the User Requirements Document).</li></ul>
Not Applicable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Comment related to a section of the UDFS not yet drafted.</li><li>• Comment not related to the scope of UDFS.</li></ul>
To be clarified by the requestor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No changes in the UDFS document.</li><li>• Additional information is required by the requestor.</li></ul>

# Overview of the market feedback on the CRDM<sup>TIPS</sup> UDFS

## Overall figures

Category	Figures	Percentage
Accepted	16	30,19%
Clarification	35	66,03%
Rejected	1	1,89%
Not applicable	0	0,00%
To be clarified by the requestor	1	1,89%
<b>Total</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>100%</b>



## Status of the CRDM<sup>TIPS</sup> UHB drafting

# Part 2 - CRDM<sup>TIPS</sup> UHB – v.0.9.0

- The version v.0.9.0 of the UHB contains
  - Full scope of the documents : Chapters 1, 2 3 and 4 (Annex)
  - Answers to customer feedback

# Part 2 - CRDM<sup>TIPS</sup> UHB – v.0.9.0

## Chapter 1 - Introduction

- Overview of the User Handbook
- Overview of the Graphical User Interface

## Chapter 2 – Screen Reference Part

- General
- Services
  - Parties
  - Cash Account
  - Access Rights
  - Message and Reports
  - Restriction Type Management
  - Network Configuration
  - Revisions

# Part 2 - CRDM<sup>TIPS</sup> UHB – v.0.9.0

## Chapter 3 – User Instruction part

- General
- Access Rights
- Rule Sets
- Party Management
- Reports
- Revisions
- Account Management
- Technical Addresses

## Chapter 4 - Annex

- Sitemap
- List of Privileges
- List of References for Error Messages

# Overview of the market feedback on the CRDM<sup>TIPS</sup> UHB

- **50** comments have been assessed, out of the written consultation concerning the 4<sup>th</sup> draft of the UHB.
- All comments have been taken into account and arranged under five different categories:

Category	Actions
Accepted	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Request to change the UHB (e.g. typos, inconsistencies, errors, further details, etc.).</li><li>• The comment was included in the 4<sup>th</sup> UHB draft.</li></ul>
Clarification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No changes in the UHB document.</li><li>• Additional explanation provided while answering the comment.</li></ul>
Rejected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Request cannot be accepted (e.g. request contradicting the User Requirements Document).</li></ul>
Not Applicable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Comment related to a section of the UHB not yet drafted.</li><li>• Comment not related to the scope of UHB.</li></ul>
To be clarified by the requestor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No changes in the UHB document.</li><li>• Additional information is required by the requestor.</li></ul>

# Overview of the market feedback on the CRDM<sup>TIPS</sup> UHB

## Overall figures

Category	Figures	Percentage
Accepted	27	54,00%
Clarification	22	44,00%
Rejected	0	0,00%
Not applicable	0	0,00%
To be clarified by the requestor	1	2,00%
<b>Total</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>100%</b>

## Status of the ESMIG<sup>TIPS</sup> UDFS drafting

# Part 3 – ESMIG<sup>TIPS</sup> UDFS – v.0.9.0

- The version v.0.9.0 of the ESMIG<sup>TIPS</sup> UDFS contains
  - Full scope of the document: Chapter 1
  - Answers to customer feedback



# Part 3 - ESMIG<sup>TIPS</sup> UDFS – v.0.9.0

## Chapter 1 – General features of ESMIG

- ESMIG features overview
- Access to ESMIG
- Possible actions of Operator Service Desk
- ESMIG data exchange information
- Communication processing
- Index of figures
- Index of tables
- List of acronyms
- List of referenced documents

# Overview of the market feedback on the ESMIG<sup>TIPS</sup> UDFS

- **77** comments have been assessed, out of the written consultation concerning the 2<sup>nd</sup> draft of the UDFS.
- All comments have been taken into account and arranged under five different categories:

Category	Actions
Accepted	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Request to change the UDFS (e.g. typos, inconsistencies, errors, further details, etc.).</li><li>• The comment was included in the 2<sup>nd</sup> UDFS draft.</li></ul>
Clarification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No changes in the UDFS document.</li><li>• Additional explanation provided while answering the comment.</li></ul>
Rejected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Request cannot be accepted (e.g. request contradicting the User Requirements Document).</li></ul>
Not Applicable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Comment related to a section of the UDFS not yet drafted.</li><li>• Comment not related to the scope of UDFS.</li></ul>
To be clarified by the requestor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No changes in the UDFS document.</li><li>• Additional information is required by the requestor.</li></ul>

# Overview of the market feedback on the ESMIG<sup>TIPS</sup> UDFS

## Overall figures

Category	Figures	Percentage
Accepted	48	62,34%
Clarification	28	36,36%
Rejected	1	1,30%
Not applicable	0	0,00%
To be clarified by the requestor	0	0,00%
<b>Total</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>100%</b>